UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,849	06/27/2005	Yoshimi Baba	CS-08-050627	2229
22712 PAUL A. GUS	7590 01/03/2007 SS		EXAMINER	
PAUL A. GUSS ATTORNEY AT LAW 775 S 23RD ST FIRST FLOOR SUITE 2 ARLINGTON, VA 22202			WHITE, DWAYNE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3745	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/540,849	BABA, YOSHIMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Dwayne J. White	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No	ovember 2006.					
·= , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	,	••				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.		· ·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) 3-7 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 November 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claims 1-7 are pending. Applicant has amended to the claims in regards to readability and U.S. practice standards have been noted with appreciation. In regards to Applicant's arguments, Applicant generally states that the amendment of independent claim 1 to recite the limitation of the sidewalls of the duct having no holes is supported by Figure 3 of the original specification and therefore reads over the cited reference. The Examiner respectfully disagrees that Figure 3 fully supports the added feature of claim 1. While Figure 3 does not show opening in the casing, it also does not show that there are no holes anywhere that cannot be seen in the view presented. Further, nowhere in the Specification does Applicant state that duct has "no holes" or that having no holes is critical to the invention claimed by Applicant. Therefore it is the position of the Examiner that newly added feature is new matter and will be rejected as such. The Examiner further notes that Oman et al. (the cited reference) has an embodiment wherein the duct has opening that can be closed off when desired (Figure 4). Therefore, it is the position of the Examiner that the Embodiment depicted in Figure 4 and described in column 5, lines 32-48, would meet the limitation when bleed ducts are in a stored position. In regards to new claim 7, while the figures seem to support the claim language "wherein said predetermined angle in inclined at a positive angle such that a leading edge of said chord at the front end of said duct is separated a greater distance from the duct axis than a trailing edge of said chord at the rear end of said duct," the Specification will be objected to because this feature is not disclosed as shown in the Drawing.

Art Unit: 3745

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The feature of claim 7 as stated above is not disclosed in the Specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, Applicant claims the duct has "no holes" this is neither disclosed in the Specification nor clearly shown in the Drawings.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oman et al. (4,075,500). Oman et al. disclose a wind power generation device comprising: a substantially cylindrical duct 50; an impeller 12 having a plurality of blades protruding outward; a pencil bodied nacelle 14 housing a generator 46; characterized in that the duct wall has a sidewall with

Art Unit: 3745

a wing section (Figure 4) inclined at a predetermined angle to the duct axis so as to be able to produce a reduced pressure area at the rear of the duct, the tip of the nacelle being with the duct and the rear end protruding from the rear of the duct, and the tips of the blades being provided in a maximum wind speed area in the duct.

CONCLUSION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am to 4 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

DJW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

12/26/06

Page 5